



The CATCh-uS research study: Children and adolescents with ADHD in transition between children's services and adult services

The NIHR-funded CATCh-uS project explored what happens to young people needing ongoing management of their ADHD when they are too old for children's services. The aim was to provide information and insights for services to use to improve healthcare for young people with ADHD and, ultimately, to optimise their health and wellbeing.

The project included a surveillance study to examine how many young people with ADHD require ongoing medication in adult services (as defined by a clinician); a qualitative study with young people, parents and clinicians to explore their experiences of transition; and a mapping study to identify the services available across the country for adults with ADHD.

Why is this research important?

Up to 40% of young people with ADHD continue to have symptoms into adulthood that affect their functioning. Since 2008, NICE guidance has recommended that young people with ADHD who need continuing treatment after leaving child services should make a smooth transition into adult services. However, previous reports indicated that transition was often a disruptive experience, and suggested that many with ongoing ADHD health care needs did not make the transition to adult services at all, missing out on the treatment they needed. This is important as continued ADHD medication into early adulthood is associated with substantially reduced levels of suicidal behaviour (Chen et al., 2014), depression (Chang et al., 2016), substance misuse (Chang et al., 2014) road traffic accidents (Chang et al., 2017), convictions and violent reoffending (Lichtenstein et al., 2013).

Our findings: key points to consider when planning services

Information

• Is there transparent and up-to-date information on the process of transition and the range of services (and their remit) commissioned for young people and adults with ADHD in your area?

• Is this easily accessible to GPs, child and adult clinicians, young people and their families?

•Have GPs been involved in the development of transition and shared care protocols?

• Are GPs appropriately informed about transition planning and transfers of care for their patients?

• Do GPs have adequate and timely access to specialist support and advice for prescribing as recommended in NICE guidance?

• Does the service provision on offer meet NICE guidance on transition and ADHD?

•How flexible is the system? Are there routes for young people with ADHD to re-enter services as an adult if needed?

• Are any groups excluded or under-served by the remits of the services commissioned? (e.g. those with certain co-morbidities or with borderline learning disabilities)

Service specifications

Shared care

Monitoring and quality improvement

• How is implementation of NICE guidance on ADHD monitored?

• How are services being evaluated and are young people involved?

·What transition outcomes are measured and by whom?

Headline findings

The majority of young people with clinically-defined ongoing need do not make a transition to adult mental health services

- At least 202-511 per 100,000 people aged 17-19 per year required ongoing medication, which NICE recommendations suggest should be monitored by a specialist. This is likely to be an underestimate and excludes psychosocial interventions
- In an example CCG with a population of 318,000 and 10,800 17-19 year olds, this would translate to 20-60 young people per year
- Although 75% of cases needing transfer were referred to adult services, of those fewer than two-thirds were accepted, and only 22% eventually attended a first appointment

Implementation of NICE guidance on transition was highly variable

- Only 6% of cases we examined experienced 'optimal' transition (defined as evidence of planning, information transfer, joint working and continuity of care)
- Barriers to 'optimal' transition included poor communication between services, lack of documentation of ongoing needs, and lack of information for clinicians and patients on what is 'on offer' locally, as well as a lack of adult services

Many young people experience difficulties gaining access to long-term adult ADHD care

- Many felt that adult ADHD services were less able to respond to their and their families' needs than children's services
- For different reasons, some young people left or lost contact with services whilst they still needed help, and experienced barriers to returning to services

Challenges for primary care

- GPs were often involved in transition 'by default', instead of being included in a planned process, and lacked information on services to support their patients
- There were concerns over shared care prescribing arrangements for over-18s, and some
 GPs felt they were not adequately involved or consulted on protocols
- Some GPs perceived ADHD prescribing to be particularly high risk, and often felt unsupported to manage this risk
- There were also concerns about prescribing when documentation of diagnoses and recording of recent assessments by specialists was missing, unclear or incomplete

Availability of services for adults with ADHD

- Provision was variable; not all Trusts provided dedicated ADHD services for adults
- Fewer than 30% of identified dedicated services provided the full range of NICE recommended treatments
- More detail available on the <u>interactive map of services</u> created by the team and maintained by the UK Adult ADHD network.

More information

CATCh-uS project: http://medicine.exeter.ac.uk/catchus/

CATCh-uS interactive map of services: https://www.ukaan.org/adult-adhd-service-map

NICE Guidance on ADHD: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/NG87

NICE Guidance on Transition: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng43

Latest publication from surveillance study: <u>Eke et al. 2019</u>, <u>British Journal of Psychiatry</u> Further questions or reference requests? Contact: <u>t.newlove-delgado@exeter.ac.uk</u>

This represents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.